

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

	States Patent and Trademark Office
ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	umay nento gov

APPLICATION	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,191	1	01/28/2004 Fumio Takahashi	8017-1123	9841	
466	7590	12/05/2006		EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON			ALPHONSE, FRITZ		
745 SOU 2ND FL	JTH 23RD S' OOR	TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LINGTON, VA 22202			2133	
				DATE MAILED: 12/05/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summant	10/765,191	TAKAHASHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Fritz Alphonse	2133					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communicatio NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	January 2004						
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		s prosecution as to the merits is	c				
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	• •	5				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayre, 1900 O.D.	11, 400 O.G. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 and 18 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	nts have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	ceived.					
Attachment(s)		<b>1770</b>					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/l	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date					
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		mal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/765,191

Art Unit: 2133

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ng (U.S. Pat. No. 6,609,225) in view of DeSouza (U.S. Pat. No. 5,379,289).

As to claim 1, Ng discloses method of generating a CRC code to determine a variable field value for equalizing a CRC value comprising establishing a temporary variable field value (fig. 4A; col. 3, lines 47-60); reading all corrective values which correspond to a bit number where a bit value of said temporary variable field value is 1, from a table which stores therein corrective values for indicating a bit to be inverted in the variable field value as "1" corresponding to a predetermined bit number, and exclusive-ORing the read corrective values to calculate a first calculated value (fig. 3; col. 5, lines 48 through col. 6 line 9; col. 7, lines 65 through col. 8 line 7). Ng (fig. 4 B) and determining, when said first calculated value corresponds to the desired CRC value, said temporary variable field value to be a variable field value for obtaining the desired CRC value (col. 8, lines 61 through col. 9 line 8).

Ng does not explicitly disclose a "conversion table". However, using a correction table for storing corrective values are obvious and well known in the art, as evidenced by Mizukami (fig. 1; col. 5, lines 45-57).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,191

Art Unit: 2133

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to incorporate into Ng' apparatus a conversion table, as disclosed by Mizukami. Doing so would provide a data transmission device which is capable of reducing an amount of hardware which is used for adaptively varying a packet size or a data size (col. 2, lines 8-11).

As to claim 7, Ng discloses a method of generating a CRC code to determine a variable field value for equalizing a CRC value, comprising establishing a temporary variable field value (fig. 4A; col. 3, lines 47-60); reading a first calculated value corresponding to said temporary variable field value from a table which stores therein first calculated values corresponding to a variable field value X and produced by exclusive-ORing a CRC value where the variable field value is 0 and a CRC value where the variable field value is X (fig. 3; col. 5, lines 48 through col. 6 line 9; col. 7, lines 65 through col. 8 line 7). Ng (fig. 4 B); and determining, when the read first calculated value corresponds to the desired CRC value, said temporary variable field value to be a variable field value for obtaining the desired CRC value (col. 8, lines 61 through col. 9 line 8).

Ng does not explicitly disclose a "conversion table". However, using a correction table for storing corrective values are obvious and well known in the art, as evidenced by Mizukami (fig. 1; col. 5, lines 45-57). See the motivation for the same reason disclosed in claim 1 above.

As to claims 8-9, Ng (fig. 2B) discloses a table (lookup table 285) which stores data of said first calculated values corresponding to said variable field value X and has a high-order address represented by a bit length of the data field and a low-order address represented by the variable field value X; the table which stores data of said first calculated values corresponding to said variable field value X and has a high-order address represented by the generator polynomial

Art Unit: 2133

and a bit length of the data field and a low-order address represented by the variable field value X (col. 7, lines 10-31).

Ng does not explicitly disclose a "conversion table". However, using a correction table for storing corrective values are obvious and well known in the art, as evidenced by Mizukami (fig. 1; col. 5, lines 45-57). See the motivation for the same reason disclosed in claim 1 above.

As to claims 10-12, 14-15, Ng discloses a method, including comparing a calculated value which is calculated in advance by exclusive-ORing a CRC value where the variable field value is 0 and the desired CRC value, with a first calculated value (col. 1, lines 36-54); and determining a temporary variable field value corresponding to said first calculated value as a variable field value for obtaining said desired CRC value if said first calculated value and said second calculated value agree with each other (fig. 3; col. 5, lines 48 through col. 6 line 9). Ng (fig. 2B) discloses a table (lookup table 285) which stores data of said first calculated values corresponding to said variable field value X and has a high-order address represented by a bit length of the data field and a low-order address represented by the variable field value X (col. 7, lines 10-31).

As to claims 13,16 and 18, the claims have substantially the limitations of claims 1 and 7; therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claims 1 and 7 above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,191

Art Unit: 2133

Conclusion

Page 5

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. See PTO-892

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3813. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at

(571) 272-3819.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2133

November 24, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100